Molecular Aluminium Trihydride, AlH₃: Generation in a Solid Noble Gas Matrix and Characterisation by its Infrared Spectrum and *Ab Initio* Calculations

Fabian A. Kurth, Robert A. Eberlein, Hansgeorg Schnöckel, Anthony J. Downsb and Colin R. Pulhamc

Institut f
ür Anorganische Chemie der Universit
ät M
ünchen, Meiserstrasse 1, D-8000 M
ünchen 2, Germany
 Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, UK OX1 3QR
 Department of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, UK EH9 3JJ

Broad-band photolysis of a solid noble gas matrix containing AI atoms and H_2 gives rise to the planar, monomeric AIH₃ molecule, isotopically natural and deuteriated forms of which have been characterised by their IR spectra; confirmation is afforded by the results of MP2 *ab initio* and normal coordinate analysis calculations.

The synthesis and characterisation of the binary hydride of gallium, $[GaH_3]_{n,1}$ have stimulated renewed interest in the hydrides of the Group 13 metals.² The ensuing flurry of theoretical enquiries³ has tended to underline the seemingly anomalous position of aluminium which gives an involatile polymeric hydride $[AlH_3]_{n,1}^4$ but only fleeting signs of discrete gaseous molecules like AlH_3 and Al_2H_6 (identified by mass spectrometry).⁵ We have confirmed that evaporation of aluminium from a hot tungsten filament into a hydrogen atmosphere (*e.g.* at a pressure of 2–3 mmHg⁺) yields at 77 K a heterogeneous solid condensate including elemental aluminium and a hydride with the empirical composition AlH_x .

† 1 mmHg ≈ 133 Pa.

the deposit implies that x > 1. Warming the deposit to ambient temperatures results in decomposition of AlH_x with the regeneration of H₂. Such decomposition can be forestalled, however, by chemical trapping with an excess of trimethylamine; warming then affords the known, relatively stable adduct (Me₃N)₂AlH₃ identified by its IR and ¹H and ²⁷Al NMR spectra.⁶ Although this points to AlH₃ as the precursor, the inhomogeneous nature of the condensate means that disproportionation cannot be ruled out as a pathway to (Me₃N)₂AlH₃.

Matrix isolation is a well tried stratagem in this area.⁷ Hence the monomeric gallane molecules GaH_xCl_{3-x} , generated photolytically *via* the reactions of molecular GaCl with HCl ($x = 1^{8a}$) or H₂ ($x = 2^{8b}$), have been characterised by their IR spectra. Likewise the binary hydride molecules GaH, Ga₂H₂ and GaH₂ have been identified in cryogenic reactions invol-



Fig. 1 IR spectra of Ar matrices containing Al atoms and H_2 or $H_2 + D_2$ showing the effects of broad-band photolysis: (a) Al with H_2 after photolysis for 20 min; (b) Al with $H_2 + D_2$ in 1:1 proportions after photolysis for 40 min. The species A, B, C and D are identified in Table 1. X and X' correspond to a hydride impurity and the corresponding deuteride, respectively (see footnotes).

ving H₂ and Ga atoms or Ga₂ molecules.⁹ Photo-excitation of matrix-isolated Al atoms in the presence of H₂ leads, it has been reported,¹⁰ to the formation of the species AlH₂ and AlH. We have carried out similar experiments in which Al vapour has been co-condensed with an excess of Ar or Kr containing ca. 5% H₂.[‡] The IR spectrum of the resulting matrix showed only weak absorptions associated with impurities, with no hint of features attributable to molecules containing Al-H bonds. However, exposure of the matrix to radiation spanning the wavelengths 200-800 nm for 10-40 min led to the appearance and growth of new IR bands (see Fig. 1). Most conspicuous were three bands occurring for an Ar matrix at 1882.7, 783.5 and 697.6 cm^{-1} , the growth patterns of which left no doubt that they are carried by a single molecule A (the corresponding bands for a Kr matrix were at 1874.5, 777.7 and 690.4 cm⁻¹). The number and energies of the bands, allied to the precedents of earlier experiments, argue that A is an aluminium hydride, but not AlH, AlH₂ or HAlOH.^{10,12} AlH may be present but its characteristic absorption (near 1590 cm⁻¹¹⁰) would be obscured, at least partially, by features due either to H_2O impurity in the matrix or to atmospheric moisture.§

Given the conditions of the matrix experiments, the most plausible interpretation of the IR spectrum is that A is the elusive monomeric trihydride AlH₃ with the expected trigonal planar structure conforming to D_{3h} symmetry. This identification we have endorsed (a) by examining the effects of replacing H₂ by D₂ or a mixture of H₂ and D₂, and (b) by comparison of the experimental findings with the results of *ab initio* calculations.

(a) The perdeuteriated version of the hydride, **D**, the dominant product of broad-band photolysis of an Ar matrix containing Al atoms and *ca*. 5% D₂, was observed to display an IR spectrum correlating with that of **A**. Shifts to substantially lower energies (1882.7 \rightarrow 1376.5, 783.5 \rightarrow 568.4 and 697.6 \rightarrow 513.9 cm⁻¹) evince large H(D) displacements for all three modes. Experiments with mixtures of H₂ and D₂ yielded no less than 15 IR absorptions attributable to **A**, **D** and mixed H/D isotopomers (see Fig. 1), 10 of these occurring in the region 500–800 cm⁻¹. In addition, experiments including D₂ as a reagent gave rise to an absorption at 1157.6 cm⁻¹ most obviously ascribed to AlD;^{10,12} as the bands due to **A**, **D**, *etc.* grew with continued broad-band photolysis, this feature was seen to *decay*.

(b) Perhaps the most cogent evidence that A is AlH_3 comes from the results of MP2 *ab initio* and normal coordinate analysis calculations.¶ The *ab initio* analysis has yielded

[‡] Al was evaporated from a graphite vessel at *ca*. 1273 K and the vapours were condensed on a copper block cooled to *ca*. 16 K. The condensate was estimated typically to have a composition $Ar(Kr): H_2: Al$ in the order of 1000:50:5. A Bruker IFS 66v FTIR spectrometer was used to measure the IR spectrum of the matrix in reflection and to monitor the effects of photolysis with the output of a medium-pressure mercury lamp; the resolution was typically 1 cm⁻¹. Other experimental details have been described earlier.^{8a,11}

[§] Apart from weaker satellites accompanying the bands due to A and attributable to matrix site effects, there were only two other bands of significant intensity which also grew on photolysis. Occurring at 1870.6 (1357.7) and 631.1 (454.6) cm⁻¹ in experiments with H₂ (D₂), as illustrated in Fig. 1, these were shown by control experiments to belong to an unidentified impurity X (X') whose formation proceeded *independently* of the presence of any aluminium in the graphite vessel. The experiments carried out so far clearly imply that X is a metal hydride molecule derived either from an impurity in the graphite itself or, more likely, from the reduction of some other component of the furnace by the hot graphite.

[¶] Program used: CADPAC 4.0 (MP2 geometry optimisations):¹³ basis set quality TZ2P for H and Al; basis sets derived from refs. 14 and 15. Basis set parameters: H(5s2p)/[3s2p] $\eta_d = 0.46$ and 1.39; Al(12s9p2d)/ [7s5p2d] $\eta_d = 0.17$ and 0.52. Total energy, $E_{tot}^{MP2} = -243.827294$ hartrees. Optimised Al-H distance = 157.1 pm, *i.e.* appreciably shorter than that found in AlH (164.8 pm).^{12b} Frequencies computed in this way are normally some 5% higher than ω_e values determined experimentally which are in turn larger than observed, anharmonic frequencies, by up to ca. 60 cm⁻¹ for vibrations like v(Al-H) with large amplitudes. The results of the normal coordinate analysis presented in Table 1 are based on the optimised structure deduced from the *ab initio* calculations. A harmonic force field was used with no more than four independently refined force constants; for all the in-plane fundamentals, the harmonic frequencies thus generated were converted to *anharmonic* frequencies by the empirical procedures described in ref. 16. Further details will be presented elsewhere.

Table 1 Vibrational frequencies (\tilde{v} in cm⁻¹) for AlH₃ (A), AlH₂D (B), AlHD₂ (C) and AlD₃ (D) (a) observed for the molecules isolated in Ar matrices, (b) calculated by the MP2 *ab initio* method and (c) calculated by normal coordinate analysis (n.c.a.)

	Experiment, Ar matrix	Assignment	Calculated	
Species			MP2 <i>ab initio</i> method (intensity) ^a	N.c.a. ^b
AlH ₃ , A	1882.7 N.o.¢ 783.5 697.6	$v_{as}(AlH_2) (e')$ $v_s(AlH_3) (a_1')$ $\delta(AlH_2) (e')$ $\gamma(AlH_3) (a_2'')$	2024.2 (268) 2021.0 (0) 830.7 (249) 737.6 (394)	1881.4 1880.6 782.5 697.8 ^d
AlH₂D, H	8 1882.7 1881.2 1367.3 780.6 651.8 645.6	$\begin{array}{l} \nu_{\rm as}({\rm AlH}_2)(b_2) \\ \nu_{\rm s}({\rm AlH}_2)(a_1) \\ \nu({\rm AlD})(a_1) \\ \delta({\rm AlH}_2)(a_1) \\ \rho({\rm AlD})(b_2) \\ \gamma({\rm AlH}_2{\rm D})(b_1) \end{array}$	2024.1 (271) 2022.1 (93) 1455.6 (99) 830.4 (246) 687.0 (170) 680.2 (335)	1881.3 1880.9 1366.8 782.2 649.3 643.4 ^d
AlHD ₂ , (C 1881.2 1377.9 1355.2 716.4 586.7 570.8	$ \begin{array}{l} \nu({\rm AlH}) \left({a_1} \right) \\ \nu_{\rm as}({\rm AlD}_2) \left({b_2} \right) \\ \nu_{\rm s}({\rm AlD}_2) \left({a_1} \right) \\ \rho({\rm AlH}) \left({b_2} \right) \\ \gamma({\rm AlHD}_2) \left({b_1} \right) \\ \delta({\rm AlD}_2) \left({a_1} \right) \end{array} $	2023.0 (186) 1468.4 (155) 1442.6 (49) 761.6 (206) 617.4 (276) 601.9 (130)	1881.0 1378.0 1355.6 717.4 584.0 ^d 570.1
AlD ₃ , D	1376.5 N.o. ^c 568.4 513.9	$\begin{array}{l} \nu_{\rm as}({\rm AlD}_2)(e') \\ \nu_{\rm s}({\rm AlD}_3)(a_1') \\ \delta({\rm AlD}_2)(e') \\ \gamma({\rm AlD}_3)(a_2'') \end{array}$	1468.4 (155) 1429.7 (0) 601.2 (128) 547.4 (217)	1378.0 1344.4 569.5 517.8 ^d

^a Intensities in km mol⁻¹, as obtained from the frequency calculation. For direct comparison with observed intensities, these values need to be scaled according to the probabilities of formation of the different AlH_nD_{3-n} isotopomers in matrices containing both H₂ and D₂. ^b See footnote ¶. ^c N.o. not observed. Fundamental is silent in IR absorption for an AlH₃ or AlD₃ molecule with D_{3h} symmetry. ^d Harmonic frequency for the out-of-plane deformation; in fact, this mode shows evidence of a *negative* anharmonicity term, a feature also conspicuous in the corresponding mode of the planar CH₃ radical.¹⁷



Scheme 1

frequencies and intensities for all the fundamentals of the molecules AlH₃, AlH₂D, AlHD₂ and AlD₃. The results are in excellent agreement with the findings of other theoretical investigations.^{3b} The frequency and intensity patterns offer a more-or-less incontrovertible basis for interpreting the IR spectra of the normal and deuteriated forms of **A**. We can account for the doublet structure of the absorption near 1880 cm⁻¹ and the three absorptions occurring in the region 1350–1380 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of a photolysed matrix containing both H₂ and D₂ (see Fig. 1 and Table 1). Here some of the relevant (bond-stretching) fundamentals of different AlH_nD_{3-n} isotopomers are coincident or nearly so. This situation does not arise, however, with the bending modes, and no aspect of the analysis is more telling than the prediction and observation of just 10 distinct vibrational modes in the region 500–800 cm⁻¹.

Our experiments disclose, then, the formation of AlH₃ on broad-band photolysis of Al atoms in an H₂-doped noble gas matrix. AlH appears to be an intermediate, but of the AlH₂ previously reported¹⁰ there was little or no sign, possibly through our use (*i*) of broad- rather than narrow-band conditions of photolysis, and (*ii*) of matrices relatively richer in H₂. That an equimolar mixture of H₂ and D₂ gives AlH₃, AlH₂D, AlHD₂ and AlD₃ in highly non-statistical proportions approaching 1:1:1:1 favours the mechanism in Scheme 1 in which the ultimate step parallels the oxidative addition of H₂ to GaCl.^{8b} Preliminary annealing experiments (at temperatures up to 26 K in a Kr matrix) resulted only in the decay of all the product bands, with no signs signalling concomitant formation of Al₂H₆, but this may reflect merely the low concentrations of the AlH₃ in the experiments carried out so far. In this and other respects there is much still to be learnt about AlH₃, and further experiments in our laboratories are now in progress.

We thank Professor D. C. McKean for helpful discussions and Mr C. B. Moore for his part in the co-condensation experiments involving Me₃N. We are also indebted to the Leibnitz Rechenzentrum der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften for providing computational facilities and to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie, the Royal Society and the SERC for financial support.

Received, 14th May 1993; Com. 3/02740F

References

- C. R. Pulham, A. J. Downs, M. J. Goode, D. W. H. Rankin and H. E. Robertson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 5149.
- 2 M. J. Taylor and P. J. Brothers, in *Chemistry of Aluminium*, *Gallium*, *Indium and Thallium*, ed. A. J. Downs, Blackie, Glasgow, 1993.
- 3 (a) C. Liang, R. D. Davy and H. F. Schaefer III, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1989, 159, 393; (b) K. Lammertsma and J. Leszczyński, J. Phys. Chem., 1990, 94, 2806; (c) B. J. Duke, C. Liang and H. F. Schaefer III, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 2884; (d) G. Trinquier and J.-P. Malrieu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 8634; (e) M. Shen and H. F. Schaefer III, J. Chem. Phys., 1992, 96, 2868.
- 4 F. M. Brower, N. E. Matzek, P. F. Reigler, H. W. Rinn, C. B. Roberts, D. L. Schmidt, J. A. Snover and K. Terada, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 2450; J. W. Turley and H. W. Rinn, Inorg. Chem., 1969, 8, 18.
- 5 P. Breisacher and B. Siegel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 5053; B. Siegel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 1535; M. Hara, K. Domen, T. Onishi and H. Nozoye, J. Phys. Chem., 1991, 95, 6.
- 6 G. W. Fraser, N. N. Greenwood and B. P. Straughan, J. Chem. Soc., 1963, 3742; C. W. Heitsch and R. N. Kniseley, Spectrochim. Acta, 1963, 19, 1385; T. J. Whitaker, Part II thesis, University of Oxford, 1990; C. B. Moore, Part II thesis, University of Oxford, 1991.
- 7 See, for example, M. J. Almond and A. J. Downs, Spectroscopy of Matrix Isolated Species, Advances in Spectroscopy, Vol. 17, Wiley, Chichester, 1989.
- 8 (a) R. Köppe, M. Tacke and H. Schnöckel, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1991, **605**, 35; (b) R. Köppe and H. Schnöckel, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1992, 3393.
- 9 Z. L. Xiao, R. H. Hauge and J. L. Margrave, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, 32, 642.
- 10 J. M. Parnis and G. A. Ozin, J. Phys. Chem., 1989, 93, 1215, 1220.
- 11 R. Ahlrichs, R. Becherer, M. Binnewies, H. Borrmann, M. Lakenbrink, S. Schunck and H. Schnöckel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 7905.
- 12 (a) R. B. Wright, J. K. Bates and D. M. Gruen, Inorg. Chem., 1978, 17, 2275; (b) K. P. Huber and G. Herzberg, Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure. IV. Constants of Diatomic Molecules, van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1979; (c) R. H. Hauge, J. W. Kauffman and J. L. Margrave, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 6005.
- 13 R. D. Amos and J. E. Rice, CADPAC, The Cambridge Analytic Derivatives Package, Issue 4.0, Cambridge, 1987.
- 14 S. Huzinaga, Approximate Atomic Functions, Technical Report, University of Alberta, 1971; Gaussian Basis Sets for Molecular Calculations, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984.
- 15 R. Ahlrichs and P. R. Taylor, J. Chim. Phys., 1981, 78, 315.
- 16 J. L. Duncan, J. Harper, É. Hamilton and G. D. Nivellini, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 1983, 102, 416; D. C. McKean, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 1992, 48, 1335.
- 17 C. Yamada, E. Hirota and K. Kawaguchi, J. Chem. Phys., 1981, 75, 5256.